



BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY  
3 1761 03347 7209

Storage  
KF  
4482  
C645  
1990

Constitutional liti-  
gation seminar

Storage  
KF  
4482  
C645  
1990

Storage

LAW LIBRARY

NOV 8 1990

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

# FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



FACULTY OF LAW,  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

78 Queen's Park  
Toronto, Canada M5S 2C5  
Tel: (416) 978-3725  
Fax: (416) 978-7899 (Falconer)  
(416) 978-2648 (Flavelle)

September 26, 1990

## CONSTITUTIONAL LITIGATION SEMINAR

There are 7 items in this package for purchase, facts of the Respondents and Intervenors in the Sunday closing case. You should already have the A.G. Ontario factum on the appeal.

The judgment of Southee J. is contained in the A.G. Ontario "Motion Book" on the stay application, which you should have already purchased from the casebook room as well. Included in the new bundle of materials are copies of the statutes that I discussed in class.

The guests for the next class, Oct. 2nd, are the lawyers representing the Respondents and Intervenors. Please come prepared to discuss the case from the point of view of litigation skills and strategies, the oral argument as well as substantive legal points.

The oral argument has been very interesting. I hope that you will take the opportunity to catch more of it.

Please get your practicum questionnaires back to me as soon as possible.

Prof. L. Weinrib



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2019 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/constitutionall00unse>

Appeal Factum - Ont. C.A. (Sept 24-28/90)

The Ottawa Group Ltd., Respondent.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FACTS .....	1
The Appeals .....	2
The Original Act .....	4
(a) The 1970 Report of the Ontario Law Reform Commission ..	4
(b) Legislative History .....	6
(c) The Legislation .....	7
(d) Enforcement and Administration .....	8
(e) Decision in Edwards Books .....	9
Bills 113 and 114 .....	10
(a) Legislative History .....	10
(b) Bill 113 .....	14
(c) Bill 114 .....	16
(d) Effectiveness of Bill 114 .....	17
(e) Preservation of Status Quo by Peel and Other Municipalities under Bill 113 .....	18
(f) Public Criticism .....	22
Decision of Mr. Justice Southey .....	22
Impact of the Current Act on Freedom of Religion .....	24
(a) Impact on Jewish Retailers .....	26
(b) Impact on Jewish Consumers .....	27
(c) Impact on Jewish Retail Employees .....	30
(d) Impact on Muslims .....	31
(e) Impact on Hindus .....	32
(f) Impact on Seventh-Day Adventists .....	33
(g) Corporations' Entitlement to Exemption .....	34
(h) Evidence Uncontradicted .....	35
Vulnerability of Retail Workers .....	35
Ontario Experience with Sunday Shopping .....	37
Experience in Western Canada .....	38
Common Pause Day .....	39
(a) Impact of Cultural Diversity .....	39
(b) Legislation Does Not Preserve Common Pause Day .....	40
PART II -- RESPONDENT'S POSITION AND LAW .....	42
Infringement of Freedom of Conscience and Religion .....	42
(a) Burdens on Religious Freedom .....	42
(b) Retailers .....	43



(c) Retail Employees .....	47
(d) Consumers .....	49
Section 1 .....	50
(a) Reasons of Mr. Justice Southey .....	50
(b) Objective .....	52
(i) Accommodating Local Interests and Local Diversity is Not of Pressing and Substantial Concern .....	53
(ii) The Act Does Not Balance the Objectives; The Pause Day Objective is Submerged .....	57
(c) Proportionality Test .....	59
(i) Rational Connection .....	60
(ii) Minimal Impairment .....	66
(iii) Effect of the Limit/Importance of the Object	68
Standing to Raise the Charter Issues .....	69
PART III - ORDER REQUESTED .....	71

